arXiv:math/0202130v2 [math.QA] 10 Jul 2006

MODULE CATEGORIES OVER THE DRINFELD DOUBLE OF A FINITE GROUP

VIKTOR OSTRIK

Abstract. We classify the module categories over the double (possibly twisted) of a finite group.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a (semisimple abelian) monoidal category. A module category over $\mathcal{C}$ is a (semisimple abelian) category $\mathcal{M}$ together with a functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and an associativity constraint (= natural isomorphism of two composition functors $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$) satifying natural axioms, see [19]. In physics, one is interested in the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is a category of representations of some vertex algebra V and irreducible objects of $\mathcal{M}$ are interpreted as a boundary conditions for the Conformal Field Theory associated to V, see [3, 10]. Thus it is interesting from a physical point of view for a given monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ to classify all possible module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ (it is known that in many interesting cases the list of answers is finite, see [19]). This problem is also of mathematical interest, for example the module categories with just one isomorphism class of irreducible objects are exactly the same as the fiber functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vec}$, see [19]. It is known that for a fusion categories of $\widehat{sl}(2)$ at positive integer levels the module categories are classified by ADE Dynkin diagrams, see [18, 13, 19]. In this note we consider another class of examples, known in physics as holomorphic orbifold models, see e.g. [7, 12].

Let G be a finite group. It is well known that the monoidal structures (= associativity constraints) on the category Vec^G of $G-$graded vector spaces with the usual functor of tensor product are classified by the group $H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$, see [14]. For $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ let Vec^G_ω denote the corresponding monoidal category. Let $\mathcal{D}(G, \omega)$ be the Drinfeld center of the category Vec^G_ω, see e.g. [2, 16]. The main result of this paper is a classification of module categories over the category $\mathcal{D}(G, \omega)$. The closely related problem of classification of modular invariants for this category was considered in [5]. On the other hand the problem of classification of twists (= fiber functors) in the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{D}(G)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{D}(G, 1)$ is interesting from the Hopf-algebraic point of view (here 1 is a trivial 3-cocycle).

The paper is organized as follows: in the Section 2 we recall necessary definitions and basic facts, in Section 3 we state and prove the Main Theorem, and in Section 4 we consider the simplest nonabelian example — the case of the symmetric group in three letters.

I was interested in the problem solved in this note from the beginning of my work on module categories [19]. But I got an idea that this problem can be solved (and

Date: February 2002.
The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0098830.

actually is trivial) only after reading papers [15, 16]. So I am grateful to Michael Mueger for this influence. My sincere gratitude is due to Pavel Etingof for very interesting discussions. I am greatly indebted to Terry Gannon for providing me with a list of modular invariants for the group S_3.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper we will consider only abelian semisimple categories over the field of complex numbers; we assume that all Hom−spaces are finite dimensional. The rank of such a category is just the number of isomorphism classes of simple objects (= the rank of the Grothendieck group). We will consider only categories of finite rank. All functors are assumed to be additive. We will consider on many occasions the cohomology of finite groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}^*$ (with trivial action). We will denote by 1 the trivial cohomology class. Sometimes we will identify cohomology class with a representing cocycle; we will always consider only normalized cocycles (i.e. the value of cocycle is 1 when one of arguments equals to 1).

2.1. **Module categories.** Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, \mathbf{1}, l, r)$ be a monoidal category. Here $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, $\otimes : \mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a bifunctor, $a : \otimes\circ(\mathrm{id}\times\otimes) \to \otimes\circ(\otimes\times\mathrm{id})$ is a functorial isomorphism of two possible composition functors $\mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ is the unit object, $l : \otimes \circ (\mathbf{1} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$ and $r : \otimes \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \mathbf{1}) \to \mathrm{id}$ are functorial isomorphisms subject to usual axioms, see e.g. [2]. We will consider only fusion categories, that is rigid categories with irreducible unit object, see [8]. A (left) module category $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ is a quadruple $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, m, l)$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is a category, $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a bifunctor, $m : \otimes \circ (\otimes \times \mathrm{id}) \to \otimes \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \otimes)$ is a functorial isomorphism of two possible composition functors $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and $l : \otimes \circ (\mathbf{1} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$ is a functorial isomorphism of two functors $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$; these data are subject to the pentagon and triangle axioms, see [19]. There are obvious notions of a direct sum of module categories, of module functors between module categories, see [19]. A module category is called indecomposable if it is not module equivalent to a direct sum of nonzero categories. One way to construct module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ is the following: let A be an associative algebra in the category $\mathcal{C}$, see e.g. [19]. Then the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ of right A−modules has an obvious structure of a module category over $\mathcal{C}$, see *loc. cit.* Theorem 3.1 in *loc. cit.* states that any module category over $\mathcal{C}$ can be obtained in this way.

Example 2.1. The indecomposable module categories over Vec_ω^G are classified by the conjugacy classes of pairs (H, ψ) where $H \subset G$ is a subgroup such that $\omega|_H = 1$ and $\psi \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$, see e.g. [10, 19]. To be more precise let $\underline{\omega} \in Z^3(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be a 3-cocycle representing ω. For any $H \subset G$ let $\psi \in C^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be a 2-cochain such that $d\psi = \underline{\omega}|_H$. Then the twisted group algebra $A(H, \psi) = \mathbb{C}_\psi[H]$ is an associative algebra in the category Vec_ω^G; for any 1-cochain $\chi \in C^1(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ the algebras $A(H, \psi)$ and $A(H, \psi+d\chi)$ are isomorphic. Any semisimple indecomposable algebra A in Vec_ω^G with $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, A) = 1$ is of the form $A(H, \psi)$ and thus the set of isomorphism classes of such algebras is a fibration over $\{H \subset G|\omega|_H = 1\}$ with fiber being a torsor over $H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$. In what follows we will often assume that this torsor is trivialized.

2.2. **The dual category.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category and $\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable module category over $\mathcal{C}$. Then one defines the dual category $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$

as a category of module functors from $\mathcal{M}$ to itself. Note that despite of our notation the category $\mathcal{C}^*$ depends on both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}$. In general, semisimplicity of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ does not imply that $\mathcal{C}^*$ is semisimple. But this is always true over a field of characteristic 0 (this follows from the main result of [8] in conjunction with Theorem 4.2 in [19] and Corollary 6.7 in [17]). Moreover over a field of characteristic 0 for two module categories $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ the category $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$ of module functors from $\mathcal{M}_1$ to $\mathcal{M}_2$ is semisimple, see [8]. If the category $\mathcal{M}$ is of the form $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ for some algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$ then one identifies the category $\mathcal{C}^*$ with the category of $A-$bimodules. The operation $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^*$ is really a duality, by definition $\mathcal{M}$ is a module category over $\mathcal{C}^*$ and $\mathcal{C}^{**} = \mathcal{C}$ (this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.2 in [19]).

Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vec}_1^G$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Vec}$ be the module category corresponding to the usual forgetful functor $\mathrm{Vec}_1^G \to \mathrm{Vec}$ (this functor is defined for any ω but it has a structure of tensor functor if and only if $\omega = 1$). Then it is easy to see using Theorem 4.2 of [19] that $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ — the category of representations of the finite group G. A little bit more generally, let $\mathcal{M}$ be the module category attached to a pair $(H, 1)$ in Example 2.1. Then it is easy to see that the category $\mathcal{C}^*$ is equivalent to the category of $H-$equivariant sheaves on G/H with tensor product given by the convolution of sheaves.

The following observation is due to M. Mueger, see [15]:

Proposition 2.1. The module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ and over $\mathcal{C}^*$ are in the canonical bijection.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the module category which connects $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^*$ (that is $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$). Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$. Then the category $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1)$ of module functors from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a module category over $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ via the composition of functors. Conversely if $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a module category over $\mathcal{C}^*$ then $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_2)$ is a module category over $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}^*}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{C}$. It is obvious that these constructions are inverse to each other. □

Note that the category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1)$ has the following "elementary" description: if $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$ for some algebras $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ then the category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1)$ is equivalent to the category of $(A, B)-$bimodules.

Example 2.3. One instance of this bijection is contained in [1]. In *loc. cit.* the authors define non-commutative "lowering of indices". This can be described as follows: let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category (semisimple, of finite rank) and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$ of rank 1 (= a fiber functor); thus $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of representations of a Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ is related with forgetful functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vec}$; let $\mathcal{C}^*$ be the dual category of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ (thus $\mathcal{C}^*$ is the category of representations of the Hopf algebra dual to the previous one). One says that a module category $\mathcal{M}_1$ over $\mathcal{C}$ of rank 1 (= a fiber functor = a twist up to gauge transformation) is *non-degenerate* if the category $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1)$ is semisimple of rank 1. Theorem 5.10 of [1] says that there is a bijection between non-degenerate module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ and over $\mathcal{C}^*$. From our point of view this is almost tautological, the bijection being given by an assignment $\mathcal{M}_1 \mapsto \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1)$. The conditions that $\mathcal{M}_1$ is of rank 1 and that $\mathcal{M}_1$ is non-degenerate are permuted by this bijection. Note that the assumption of semisimplicity of $\mathcal{C}$ is not essential for these considerations.

2.3. **The Drinfeld center.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category, $\mathcal{M}$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^*$ be the corresponding dual category. Then one can consider external

product of monoidal categories $\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{C}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}$ has an obvious structure of a module category over $\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{C}^*$. The following result is inspired by [16]:

Proposition 2.2. The dual category to $\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{C}^*$ with respect to the module category $\mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of the category $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Each functor from $(\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{C}^*)^*$ should commute with $\mathcal{C}^*$ so is equivalent to the functor $X\otimes?$ for some $X\in\mathcal{C}$ (since $\mathcal{C}^{**}=\mathcal{C}$). The functor $X\otimes?$ should commute with all functors of the form $Y\otimes?$ for all $Y\in\mathcal{C}$, so for each $Y\in\mathcal{C}$ we have an isomorphism of functors $X\otimes(Y\otimes?)\to Y\otimes(X\otimes?)$. Clearly this isomorphism comes from the isomorphism of objects $X\otimes Y\to Y\otimes X$ (by the Yoneda Lemma). We leave for the reader to check that under this identification the axioms (= commutative diagrams) from the definition of a module functors reduce to the axioms of the objects of Drinfeld center. □

We have the following immediate consequence :

Corollary 2.1. The categories $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ are canonically equivalent.

Proof. The construction of Proposition 2.2 is completely symmetric with respect to the roles of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^*$. □

Remark. A counterpart of this statement in the theory of Hopf algebras is well known: the Drinfeld doubles of a Hopf algebra and of its dual are isomorphic.

For a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ let $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ denote the same category with opposite tensor product. The following consequence of Proposition 2.2 is essentially contained in [16]:

Corollary 2.2. For any monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ the module categories over $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are in canonical bijection with module categories over $\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{C}^{op}$.

Proof. One should use Proposition 2.2 with $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{C}$ considered as a module category over $\mathcal{C}$. □

3. Main results

3.1. **General setting.** Let G be a finite group and let $\omega\in H^3(G,\mathbb{C}^*)$ be a cohomology class. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}=\mathrm{Vec}_\omega^G$. For each subgroup $H\subset G$ such that $\omega|_H=1$ and a cohomology class $\psi\in H^2(H,\mathbb{C}^*)$ let $A(H,\psi)$ denote the corresponding algebra in the category Vec_ω^G, see Example 2.1 (as a $G-$graded space $A(H,\psi)$ is supported on $H\subset G$ and each graded component is one dimensional, the multiplication is given by the 2-cocycle ψ; in other words $A(H,\psi)$ is the group algebra of H twisted by ψ). Consider the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)$ of $A(H,\psi)-$bimodules in the category Vec_ω^G. Equivalently, the category $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)$ can be defined as the category $\mathcal{C}^*$ with respect to the module category $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of $A(H,\psi)-$modules.

Theorem 3.1. The indecomposable module categories over monoidal category $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)$ are parametrized by the conjugacy classes of pairs (H_1,ψ_1) where $H_1\subset G$ is a subgroup such that $\omega|_{H_1}=1$ and $\psi_1\in H^2(H_1,\mathbb{C}^*)$ is a cohomology class. Moreover, the module category corresponding to a pair (H_1,ψ_1) is just the category $\mathcal{M}$ of $(A(H,\psi),A(H_1,\psi_1))-$bimodules with tensor product over $A(H,\psi)$ as a bifunctor $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)\times\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions and Proposition 2.1 and Example 2.1. □

Now let (H_1,ψ_1) and (H_2,ψ_2) be two pairs consisting of a subgroup $H_i\subset G$ such that $\omega|_{H_i}=1$ and a cohomology class $\psi_i\in H^2(H_i,\mathbb{C}^*)$. We are going to calculate the number of simple objects in the category of $(A(H_1,\psi_1),A(H_2,\psi_2))-$bimodules

(so as a special case we will get the rank of the category $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)$). Let $g\in G$ be an element of G. The group $H^g:=H_1\cap gH_2g^{-1}$ has a well defined 2-cocycle

$$\psi^g(h,h'):=\psi_1(h,h')\psi_2(g^{-1}h'^{-1}g,g^{-1}h^{-1}g)\omega(hh'g,g^{-1}h'^{-1}g,g^{-1}h^{-1}g)^{-1}\times$$
$$\times\omega(h,h',g)\omega(h,h'g,g^{-1}h'^{-1}g)$$

(we will see that this is indeed well defined in the proof of Proposition 3.1).

Proposition 3.1. Let (H_1,ψ_1) and (H_2,ψ_2) be two pairs as above. Let $\{g_i\}_{i\in H_1\backslash G/H_2}$ be a set of reprsentatives of two-sided $(H_1,H_2)-$cosets in G. The rank of the category of $(A(H_1,\psi_1),A(H_2,\psi_2))-$bimodules equals to

$$\sum_{i\in H_1\backslash G/H_2} m(g_i)$$

where $m(g_i)$ is the number of irreducible projective representations of the group H^{g_i} with the center acting via 2-cocycle ψ^{g_i}.

Proof. Let $M=\oplus_{g\in G}M_g$ be a $(A(H_1,\psi_1),A(H_2,\psi_2))-$bimodule. The structure of a bimodule gives us for each $h_1\in H_1, h_2\in H_2$ the isomorphisms $i^1_{h_1,g}: M_{h_1g}\to M_g$ and $i^2_{g,h_2}: M_{gh_2}\to M_g$. These isomorphisms should satisfy the following identities:

1) $i^1_{h_1h_1',g}=\omega(h_1,h_1',g)\psi_1(h_1,h_1')i^1_{h_1',g}\circ i^1_{h_1,h_1'g}$ (this relation says that M is left $A(H_1,\psi_1)-$module).

2) $i^2_{g,h_2'h_2}=\omega(g,h_2',h_2)^{-1}\psi_2(h_2',h_2)i^2_{g,h_2'}\circ i^2_{gh_2',h_2}$ (this relation says that M is right $A(H_2,\psi_2)-$module).

3) $i^1_{h_1,g}\circ i^2_{h_1g,h_2}=\omega(h_1,g,h_2)i^2_{g,h_2}\circ i^1_{h_1,gh_2}$ (that is the structure of left module commutes with the structure of right module).

There are also some other identities (which we omit) related to the action of the identity.

It is clear that the module M is a direct sum of submodules supported on individual double cosets. If we assume that M is supported on one such coset and g is a representative of this coset, then it is enough to study the vector space M_g; for each pair $(h_1,h_2)\in H_1\times H_2$ such that $h_1gh_2=g$ we have an operator $j^g_{h_1}:=i^1_{h_1,g}\circ i^2_{h_1g,h_2}: M_g\to M_g$ (note that $h_1=gh_2^{-1}g^{-1}$ so $h_1\in H^g$, also h_2 is completely determined by h_1); it is not difficult to see from the above that

$$j^g_h\circ j^g_{h'}=\psi^g(h,h')j^g_{hh'}.$$

This relation is exactly the definition of the ψ^g-twisted group algebra of H^g and the Proposition is proved. □

Remark. (i) Actually we will prove that there is a natural bijection between simple $(A(H_1,\psi_1),A(H_2,\psi_2))-$bimodules and irreducible projective representations of groups H^{g_i} with the center acting via 2-cocycle ψ^{g_i}.

(ii) The formula for 2-cocycle ψ^g is a generalization of formula 5.15 from [5].

(iii) The paper of S. Yamagami [20] contains results closely related to Proposition 3.1, see [20] section 5. Also the result closely related with Proposition 3.1 is contained in [1].

It is easy to deduce from Proposition 3.1 the classification of fiber functors (= module categories of rank 1) for the category $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)$.

Corollary 3.1. The fiber functors of the category $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,H,\psi)$ are classified by pairs (H_1,ψ_1) where $H_1\subset G$ is a subgroup and $\psi_1\in H^2(H_1,\mathbb{C}^*)$ such that

1) The class $\omega|_{H_1}$ is trivial.

2) The number of double cosets $H \setminus G/H_1$ is 1.

3) The class $\psi|_{H\cap H_1} - \psi_1|_{H\cap H_1}$ is nondegenerate, that is the twisted group algebra of $H \cap H_1$ with respect to the cocycle representing $\psi|H \cap H_1 - \psi_1|_{H\cap H_1}$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra.

Example 3.1. Assume that $G = H \cdot H_1$ is an exact factorization, i.e. any element of G can be uniquely represented as a product hh_1 where $h \in H, h_1 \in H_1$. Then the category $\mathcal{C}(G, 1, H, 1)$ has a fiber functor corresponding to a pair $(H_1, 1)$. The corresponding Hopf algebra is called a Kac algebra and is well known in the theory of Hopf algebras.

3.2. **Main Theorem.** Recall that $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ denotes the Drinfeld center of the category Vec_ω^G. Consider the cohomology class $\tilde{\omega} = p_1^*\omega - p_2^*\omega \in H^3(G \times G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ where $p_i : G \times G \to G, (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_i$ are projections. Here is the main result of this note:

Theorem 3.2. The indecomposable module categories over the category $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ are parametrized by the conjugacy classes of pairs (H, ψ) where $H \subset G \times G$ is a subgroup such that $\tilde{\omega}|_H = 1$ and $\psi \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Proof. Let $\Delta(G) \subset G \times G$ denote the diagonal subgroup. By Corollary 2.2 the category $\mathcal{D}(G, \omega)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}(G \times G, \tilde{\omega}, \Delta(G), 1)$. So this Theorem is a special case of Theorem 3.1. □

We will denote the module category corresponding to a pair (H, ψ) by $\mathcal{M}(H, \psi)$. Let us consider an action of the group H on the set of elements of G given by the formula $(h_1, h_2)g = h_1 g h_2^{-1}$. Let $\{g_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of representatives of all orbits under this action. For each g_i consider a subgroup $H^{g_i} = \{(h_1, h_2) \in H | h_1 g_i h_2^{-1} = g_i\}$ of H; note that since h_2 is completely determined by the value of h_1 the group H^{g_i} can be considered as a subgroup of G via the first projection $H \subset G \times G \to G$. The group H^{g_i} has a well defined 2-cocycle

$$\psi^{g_i}(h, h') = \psi^{-1}((h, g_i h g_i^{-1}), (h', g_i h' g_i^{-1}))\times$$

$$\times \frac{\omega(g_i^{-1}, h'^{-1}, h^{-1})\omega(h, h', h'^{-1})\omega(g_i^{-1}h'g_i, g_i^{-1}h'^{-1}, h^{-1})}{\omega(hh', h'^{-1}, h^{-1})\omega(g_i^{-1}hg_i, g_i^{-1}h'g_i, g_i^{-1}h'^{-1}h^{-1})}.$$

Let $m(g_i)$ denote the number of irreducible projective representations of the group H^{g_i} with center acting via 2-cocycle ψ^{g_i}.

Theorem 3.3. The rank of the category $\mathcal{M}(H, \psi)$ is $\sum_{i\in I} m(g_i)$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1. □

Example 3.2. Assume that the cohomology classes ω and ψ are both trivial. Then the category $\mathcal{M}(H, 1)$ is equivalent to the category of $\Delta(G)-$equivariant sheaves on $G \times G/H$.

Corollary 3.2. The fiber functors of the category $\mathcal{D}(G, \omega)$ are classified by pairs (H, ψ) where $H \subset G \times G$ is a subgroup and $\psi \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ such that

1) The class $\tilde{\omega}|_H$ is trivial.

2) The number of double cosets $\Delta(G) \setminus G \times G/H$ is 1.

3) The class $\psi|_{H\cap\Delta(G)}$ is nondegenerate, that is the twisted group algebra of $H \cap \Delta(G)$ with respect to the cocycle representing $\psi|_{H\cap\Delta(G)}$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra.

3.3. **Two unsolved problems.** Recall that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(G, \omega)$ is a modular tensor category, see e.g. [2, 16]. On the other hand, for a modular tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ one attaches a *modular invariant* to each module category over $\mathcal{C}$, see [4, 19].

Problem 1. Calculate explicitly modular invariants attached to module categories over $\mathcal{D}(G,\omega)$.

For a braided tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ it makes sense to speak about commutative algebras A in this category, see e.g. [13]. We will say that a module category $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ is of *type I* if $\mathcal{M}$ is module equivalent to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ for a commutative algebra A (in this case the algebra A is uniquely determined by the module category $\mathcal{M}$) and $\mathcal{M}$ is of *type II* otherwise (this is just a translation to the language of module categories of the corresponding notions due to G. Moore and N. Seiberg for modular invariants).

Problem 2. Which module categories over $\mathcal{D}(G,\omega)$ are of type I?

One can attach a module category of type I to any subgroup $H \subset G$. Indeed the category $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is a braided subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G,\omega)$ and the algebra A of functions on G/H is a commutative algebra in $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. But even in a simplest case $G = S_3$ there are some other module categories of type I, see below. It seems plausible that at least for $\omega = 1$ the type I module categories correspond to pairs $(H, 1)$ (so cocycle ψ is trivial) such that H is conjugate to a subgroup of $G \times G$ invariant under the permutation of factors automorphism of $G \times G$.

4. The simplest example

In this section we consider the simplest non-abelian example, the case of the group $G = S_3$ — the symmetric group in three letters. It is well known that in this case $H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ (see e.g. [5]). For each value of $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ the category $\mathcal{D}(G,\omega)$ has 8 simple objects and the same fusion rules (but the structures of monoidal categories differ). Let ω_0 be a generator of $H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$. It is easy to see that ω_0 has a nonzero restriction to either of the subgroups $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ of $G = S_3$. In this section we will use the additive notations for cohomology groups instead of the multiplicative notations used above.

4.1. **Enumeration of subgroups of $G \times G$.** In the table below we enumerate conjugacy classes of all subgroups of $G \times G$ and their 2-cocycles. The following table is self-explaining; Δ denotes the diagonal imbedding $G \to G \times G$, the subgroup K consists of all pairs of permutations with the same parity. The subgroups L_1, L_2 are $L_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times e)\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $L_2 = (e \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ (these subgroups were erroneously omitted in the published version of this note; the mistake was pointed out in [9]).

notation	H_1	H_2	H_3	H_4	H_5	H_6
$\lvert H\rvert$	1	2			3	
H	$e \times e$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times e$	$e \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times e$	$e \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$
$H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$	0	0	0	0	0	0

notation	H_7	H_8	H_9	H_{10}	H_{11}	H_{12}
$\lvert H\rvert$	3	4	6			
H	$\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$S_3 \times e$	$e \times S_3$	$\Delta(S_3)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$
$H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	0

notation	H_{13}	H_{14}	H_{15}	H_{16}
$\vert H\vert$	6	9	12	
H	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$S_3\times\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times S_3$
$H^2(H,\mathbb{C}^*)$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

notation	H_{17}	H_{18}	H_{19}	H_{20}	H_{21}	H_{22}
$\vert H\vert$	18			36	6	6
H	$S_3\times\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\times S_3$	K	$S_3\times S_3$	L_1	L_2
$H^2(H,\mathbb{C}^*)$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	0

4.2. **Module categories.** We say that the subgroup H is admissible for a 3-cocycle ω if $\tilde{\omega}|_H$ (see Theorem 3.2) is trivial. It is clear that any subgroup is admissible for $\omega=0$ and in this case the category $\mathcal{D}(G,\omega)$ has 28 indecomposable module categories (in both cases when $H^2(H,\mathbb{C}^*)=\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ two nontrivial 2-cocycles are permuted by the action of the normalizer of H); if $\omega=\pm\omega_0$ then the admissible subgroups are H_1, H_4, H_7, H_{11} and we have 4 indecomposable module categories; if $\omega=\pm 2\omega_0$ then the admissible subgroups are H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_7, H_8, H_{11} and we have 8 indecomposable module categories; finally if $\omega=3\omega_0$ then the admissible subgroups are H_1, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7, H_{11}, H_{14}, H_{19}, H_{21}, H_{22} and we have 12 indecomposable module categories. The following table gives the number of simple objects in module categories in all cases (note that the rank of module categories does not depend on 2 and 3-cocycles involved since the possible groups $H^g=e,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z},S_3$ have trivial second cohomology, so we present results only for $\omega=0$; in the other cases one just needs to erase some columns).

subgroup	H_1	H_2	H_3	H_4	H_5	H_6	H_7	H_8	H_9	H_{10}	H_{11}
$\vert\Delta(G)\setminus G\times G/H\vert$	6	3	3	4	2	2	4	2	1	1	3
rank of $\mathcal{M}$	6	3	3	6	2	2	10	3	1	1	8

subgroup	H_{12}	H_{13}	H_{14}	H_{15}	H_{16}	H_{17}	H_{18}	H_{19}	H_{20}	H_{21}	H_{22}
$\vert\Delta(G)\setminus G\times G/H\vert$	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2
rank of $\mathcal{M}$	1	1	6	2	2	3	3	6	3	4	4

So in particular we see that the untwisted double of S_3 has 4 fiber functors and all the twisted doubles have no fiber functors.

4.3. **Modular invariants.** In this section we assume that $\omega=0$ and give a list of modular invariants attached to the module categories above. The list of modular invariants for this case was worked out in [5] 3.3 (unfortunately the list in a published vesrion of [5] is incomplete and I am grateful to the authors of [5] for providing me with a complete list consisting of 48 invariants). Below we are using the notations for the simple objects of $\mathcal{D}(S_3,1)$ from [5]: if we identify $\mathcal{D}(S_3,1)$ with the category of S_3-equivariant sheaves on S_3 with respect to the action by conjugations then indices 0,1,2 mark objects living on the unit, 0 corresponds to the trivial representation, 1 to the sign representation, 2 to the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of S_3; indices 3,4,5 mark objects living on the conjugacy class of 3-cycles, 3 corresponds to the trivial representation and 4,5 to two nontrivial one dimensional representations of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$; indices 6,7 mark objects living in the

conjugacy class of 2-cycles, 6 corresponds to the trivial and 7 to the nontrivial irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. One can compute the modular invariants attached to the module categories above in the following way: first compute the ranks of the module categories $\mathcal{M}$ (this was done above) and of the dual categories $\mathcal{C}^*$ (= the rank of the category of $(A(H,\psi), A(H,\psi))-$bimodules; see the tables below, where H denotes the module category corresponding to a pair $(H,1)$ and H^{tw} denotes the module category corresponding to a pair (H,ψ) where ψ is a nontrivial 2-cocycle):

subgroup	H_1	H_2	H_3	H_4	H_5	H_6	H_7	H_8	H_8^{tw}	H_9	H_{10}	H_{11}
rank of $\mathcal{C}^*$	36	18	18	12	36	36	20	9	9	18	18	8

subgroup	H_{12}	H_{13}	H_{14}	H_{14}^{tw}	H_{15}	H_{15}^{tw}	H_{16}	H_{16}^{tw}	H_{17}	H_{18}
rank of $\mathcal{C}^*$	18	18	36	20	9	9	9	9	18	18

subgroup	H_{19}	H_{19}^{tw}	H_{20}	H_{20}^{tw}	H_{21}	H_{22}
rank of $\mathcal{C}^*$	12	8	9	9	12	12

On the other hand the ranks of $\mathcal{M}$ and of $\mathcal{C}^*$ can (at least conjecturaly) be computed from the modular invariants, see e.g. [19] Claims 5.3 and 5.4. Now comparing the lists of the module categories and of the modular invariants one gets some information (unfortunately incomplete, we can not distinguish for example H_2 and H_{17} from this information) on the correpondence between them. We conjecture that the table below (obtained using the above information plus some heuristics) gives correct correspondence between module categories and modular invariants.

H_1	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2\rvert^2$	H_{15}	$(\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6)(\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6)^*$
H_2	$(\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6)(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2)^*$	H_{15}^{tw}	$(\chi_0+\chi_3)(\chi_0+\chi_2)^*+\chi_1\chi_6^*+$ $+\chi_3\chi_6^*+\chi_6\chi_1^*+\chi_6\chi_2^*+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_3	$(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2)(\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6)^*$		
H_4	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_2\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_1+\chi_2\rvert^2+$ $+\lvert\chi_6\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$	H_{16}	$(\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6)(\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6)^*$
		H_{16}^{tw}	$(\chi_0+\chi_2)(\chi_0+\chi_3)^*+\chi_1\chi_6^*+$ $+\chi_2\chi_6^*+\chi_6\chi_1^*+\chi_6\chi_3^*+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_5	$(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3)(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2)^*$		
H_6	$(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2)(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3)^*$	H_{17}	$(\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6)(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3)^*$
H_7	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_1\rvert^2+2\lvert\chi_2\rvert^2+2\lvert\chi_3\rvert^2+$ $+2\lvert\chi_4\rvert^2+2\lvert\chi_5\rvert^2$	H_{18}	$(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3)(\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6)^*$
		H_{19}	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_3\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_1+\chi_3\rvert^2+$ $+\lvert\chi_6\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_8	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6\rvert^2$		
H_8^{tw}	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_2\rvert^2+\chi_1\chi_6^*+\chi_2\chi_6^*+$ $+\chi_6\chi_1^*+\chi_6\chi_2^*+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$	H_{19}^{tw}	$\lvert\chi_0\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_1\rvert^2+\chi_2\chi_3^*+\chi_3\chi_2^*$ $+\lvert\chi_4\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_5\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_6\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_9	$(\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6)(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2)^*$	H_{20}	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6\rvert^2$
H_{10}	$(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_2)(\chi_0+\chi_3+\chi_6)^*$	H_{20}^{tw}	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_3\rvert^2+\chi_1\chi_6^*+\chi_3\chi_6^*+$ $+\chi_6\chi_1^*+\chi_6\chi_3^*+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_{11}	$\lvert\chi_0\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_1\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_2\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_3\rvert^2+$ $+\lvert\chi_4\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_5\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_6\rvert^2+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$	H_{21}	$(\chi_0+\chi_3)(\chi_0+\chi_2)^*+\lvert\chi_6\rvert^2+$ $(\chi_1+\chi_3)(\chi_1+\chi_2)^*+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_{12}	$(\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6)(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3)^*$		
H_{13}	$(\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3)(\chi_0+\chi_2+\chi_6)^*$	H_{22}	$(\chi_0+\chi_2)(\chi_0+\chi_3)^*+\lvert\chi_6\rvert^2+$ $(\chi_1+\chi_2)(\chi_1+\chi_3)^*+\lvert\chi_7\rvert^2$
H_{14}	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_1+2\chi_3\rvert^2$		
H_{14}^{tw}	$\lvert\chi_0+\chi_1\rvert^2+2\chi_2\chi_3^*+2\chi_3\chi_2^*+$ $+2\lvert\chi_4\rvert^2+2\lvert\chi_5\rvert^2$		

References

[1] E. Aljadeff, P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, *On twisting of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras*, math.QA/0107167.

[2] B. Bakalov, A. Kirillov, Jr., *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functor*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.
[3] R. Behrend, P. Pearce, V. Petkova, J.-B. Zuber *Boundary conditions in Rational Conformal Field Theories*, hep-th/9908036.
[4] J. Böckenhauer, D. E. Evans, Y. Kawahigashi, *Chiral Structure of Modular Invariants for Subfactors*, CMP 210 (2000), 733-784.
[5] A. Coste, T. Gannon, P. Ruelle, *Finite group modular data*, hep-th/0001158.
[6] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Graduate Texts in Contemprorary Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
[7] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, *The operator algebra of orbifold models*, CMP **123** (1989), 485-526.
[8] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, math.QA/0203060.
[9] D. E. Evans, P. R. Pinto, *Subfactor realisation of modular invariants*, math.OA/0309174.
[10] J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, *Category theory for conformal boundary conditions*, math.CT/0106050.
[11] T. Gannon, *Boundary Conformal Field Theory and Fusion Ring Representations*, hep-th/0106105.
[12] A. Kirillov, *Modular categories and orbifold models II*, math.QA/0110221.
[13] A. Kirillov, V. Ostrik, *On q−analog of McKay correspondence and ADE classification of conformal field theories*, math.QA/0101219.
[14] G. Moore, N. Seiberg, *Classical and quantum Conformal Field Theory*, CMP **123** (1989), 177-254.
[15] M. Müger, *From subfactors to categories and topology I*, math.CT/0111204.
[16] M. Müger, *From subfactors to categories and topology II*, math.CT/0111205.
[17] D. Nikshych, *On the structure of weak Hopf algebras*, math.QA/0106010.
[18] A. Ocneanu, *The classification of subgroups of quantum* SU(N), in Quantum symmetries in theoretical physics and mathematics (Bariloche, 2000), Contemp. Math. **294**, 133-159.
[19] V. Ostrik, *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*, math.QA/0111139.
[20] S. Yamagami, *Group symmetry in tensor categories and duality for orbifolds*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **167** (2002), 83-128.

E-mail address: ostrik@math.mit.edu

Department of Mathematics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139